



EJW

ECON JOURNAL WATCH
Scholarly Comments on
Academic Economics

Econ Journal Watch
Scholarly Comments on Academic Economics
Volume 10, Issue 1, January 2013

IN MEMORIAM 1

COMMENTS

The Max U Approach: Prudence Only, or Not Even Prudence? A Smithian Perspective

David Lipka 2-14

Model Distraction: A Comment on Daveri and Tabellini

Andrea Imperia 15-24

Did John Lott Provide Bad Data to the NRC? A Note on Aneja, Donohue, and Zhang

Carlisle E. Moody, John R. Lott, Jr., and Thomas B. Marvell 25-31

Substance vs. Sideshows in the More Guns, Less Crime Debate: A Comment on Moody, Lott, and Marvell

Abhay Aneja, John J. Donohue III, and Alexandria Zhang 32-39

Did Jose Canseco Really Improve the Performance of His Teammates by Spreading Steroids? A Critique of Gould and Kaplan

John Charles Bradbury 40-69

The Peer Effect of Jose Canseco: A Reply to J. C. Bradbury

Eric D. Gould and Todd R. Kaplan 70-86

ECONOMICS IN PRACTICE

Reply to Deirdre McCloskey and Stephen Ziliak on Statistical Significance
Thomas Mayer 87-96

We Agree That Statistical Significance Proves Essentially Nothing: A Rejoinder
to Thomas Mayer
Stephen T. Ziliak and Deirdre N. McCloskey 97-107

Paul Krugman Denies Having Concurred With an Administration Forecast: A
Note
David O. Cushman 108-115

CHARACTER ISSUES

Economics Professors' Voting, Policy Views, Favorite Economists, and
Frequent Lack of Consensus
Daniel B. Klein, William L. Davis, and David Hedengren 116-125

WATCHPAD

Euro Politics: An Interview with Roland Vaubel
Roland Vaubel, interviewed by Lawrence H. White 126-134